

3639  
JRW/AP

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

## TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

4

Application Number	09/910,471
Filing Date	7/20/2001
First Named Inventor	Jeffrey K. Wilkins et al
Art Unit	3639
Examiner Name	Igor N. Borissov


Attorney Docket Number

WIL-102/US

### ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		
<input type="checkbox"/> Remarks		

### SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	Lumen Intellectual Property Services, Inc.		
Signature			
Printed name	Ron Jacobs		
Date	6/27/05	Reg. No.	50,142

### CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature



Typed or printed name


SYLVIA LEE

Date

6/27/05

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 09/910,471

Atty. Docket No.: WIL-102

Filing Date: 07/20/2001

Art Unit: 3629

5 Applicants: Jeffrey K. Wilkins et al.

Examiner: Igor Borissov

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR COMPILING BUSINESS DATA

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on 6/27/05

Date

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate

Sylvia LeeSylvia

Signature

20

REQUEST FOR A TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW WITH EXAMINER

25 Mail Stop AF  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

30

Sir:

In response to the final Office action mailed on May 20, 2005 and per MPEP 713.09, the undersigned and inventor Prashant P. Devdhar respectfully request a telephonic interview with the Examiner of record, Mr. Igor Borissov, and the Supervisory Patent Examiner, John G. Weiss. The substance of the interview is hereby made of record per the following Remarks and amendments previously presented (MPEP 713.04).

**REMARKS***Regarding Claim Status*

- Claims 1-15 and 18-32 were pending. Claims 1-15 and 18-32 were finally rejected.  
5 Withdrawal of claim objections and claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 101 and 112 is acknowledged and appreciated.

*Regarding Claim Rejections*

- 10 Claims 1, 5-11, 13-14, 29 and 31 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,338,067, hereinafter referred to as “Baker”) in view of Wical (U.S. Pat. No. 6,061,675). Claims 2-4, 30, and 32 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker and Wical in view of Johnson et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,553,385, hereinafter referred to as “Johnson”). Claims 12 and 18-24 were rejected  
15 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker and Wical in view of Lawrence et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,289,342, hereinafter referred to as “Lawrence”). Claim 15 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker and Wical in view of Maddalozzo, Jr. et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,460,060, hereinafter referred to as “Maddalozzo”).  
Claims 25-26 and 28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over  
20 Baker and Wical in view of Yong (U.S. Pat. No. 6,560,606). Claim 27 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker, Wical, and Maddalozzo in view of Yong.

Applicants submit that

1. several important claimed elements, including a computer-implemented spider, are not addressed at all in the rejections;
- 25 2. the invention as claimed would have the spider to search the Internet, but Baker would have a user to send search queries OVER THE NET to a particular proprietary database server;
3. content analysis and discrimination is a KEY to the claimed invention. The method steps, disclosed in Baker in view of Wical, would have NO WAY BE  
30 PERFORMED THE SAME regardless of the information content;


4. the stated motivations to combine the applied references in their various alleged combinations are based on hindsight reasoning. At the time of the invention, there simply was no proper motivation for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Baker with any of the applied secondary references, especially since Baker does not teach or suggest a computer-implemented spider.

5

*Conclusion*

Please advise an interview time of approximately 30 minutes at the number below. Thank  
10 you.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Ron Jacobs, Ph.D.  
Reg. No. 50,142

LUMEN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SERVICES  
2345 Yale Street, Second Floor  
Palo Alto, CA 94306  
(O) 650-424-0100 x 8411 (F) 650-424-0141